



## **Our philosophy**

We believe in maximising the use of the Pupil Premium Grant (PPG) by utilising a long-term strategy aligned to the School Development Plan. This enables us to implement a blend of short, medium and long-term interventions, and align pupil premium use with wider school improvements and improving readiness to learn.

Overcoming barriers to learning is at the heart of our PPG use. We understand that needs and costs will differ depending on the barriers to learning being addressed. As such, we do not automatically allocate personal budgets per pupil in receipt of the PPG. Instead, we identify the barrier to be addressed and the interventions required, whether in small groups, large groups, the whole school or as individuals, and allocate a budget accordingly.

## **Our priorities**

Setting priorities is key to maximising the use of the PPG. Our priorities are as follows:

- Ensuring a 'very effective' teacher is in every class
- Addressing non-academic barriers to attainment such as attendance and behaviour
- Closing the attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers
- Providing targeted academic support for pupils who are not making the expected progress
- Ensure that students leave us prepared for the next stage of their lives

#### **Barriers to future attainment**

We acknowledge that the barriers affecting individual students will vary. The table below is a generalisation of barriers to our students, *but not all barriers are applicable to all students*.

Academic barriers to attainment	Non-academic barriers to attainment		
Lower levels of literacy (of some)	Lower attendance (of some)		
Weaker language and communication skills (of some)	Increased number of sanctions received (of some)		
'Very effective' teaching not present in every classroom	Lower levels of parental engagement at parents evening (of some)		
Lack of targeted support	Arriving at school hungry and not ready to learn (of some)		
Lack of school readiness (of some)	Lack of focus and confidence due to lower mental health and wellbeing (of some)		

## **Our implementation process**

We believe in selecting a small number of priorities and giving them the best chance of success. We also believe in evidence-based interventions and learning from our experiences, which is why we utilise annual light-touch reviews to ensure our approach is effective and we can cease or amend interventions that are not having the intended impact.

We will:

#### **Explore**

- Identify a key priority that we can address
- Systematically explore appropriate programmes and practices
- Examine the fit and feasibility with the school

#### **Prepare**

- Develop a clear, logical and well-specified plan
- · Assess the readiness of the school to deliver the plan
- Make practical preparations

#### **Deliver**

- Support staff and solve any problems using a flexible leadership approach
- Reinforce initial training with follow-on support
- Drive faithful adoption and intelligent adaption

#### Sustain

- Plan for sustaining and scaling the intervention from the outset
- Continually acknowledge, support and reward good implementation practices
- Treat scale-up as a new implementation process

## Our tiered approach

To prioritise spending, we have adopted a tiered approach to define our priorities and ensure balance. Our tiered approach comprises three categories:

- 1. Quality of teaching
- 2. Targeted academic support
- 3. Wider strategies

Within each category, we have chosen a small number of interventions. This focussed approach ensures the best chance of success for each intervention.

## 1. Quality of teaching

a) High quality Continued Professional Development (CPD): Encouraging self-led professional development within a framework of whole school Continued Professional Development (CPD) and support for early career teachers (NQTs and RQTs).

b) Teaching and learning: Further develop strategies to increase engagement and challenge and to raise the profile of students within the classroom.

## 2. Targeted academic support

- Structured interventions: Introducing vocabulary interventions for pupils with poor oral language and communication skills. This will be in the form of Sound Training via Lexonik and use of Lexia.
- b) Small group tuition: Introducing targeted English and maths teaching for pupils who are below age-related expectations. This will be in the form of Yipiyap tutors and Making Good Progress (MGP) lessons.
- c) Learning Support for SEND students in receipt of PP: Creating additional teaching and learning opportunities using TAs.

## 3. Wider strategies

- a) Attendance: Use of an Attendance and Welfare Manager three days per week to improve attendance and foster links with parents.
- b) Behaviour: Use of a Behaviour and Seclusion Manager three days per week to improve behaviour and reduce exclusions.
- c) Opportunities Fund: To support with Peripatetic Music lessons, trips, revision guides, equipment, laptops and reading engagement.
- d) Learning Mentors and Advisors: Use of PP Pastoral Managers, a Vocational Learning Mentor, Careers advisor and pastoral leadership support.

Full planning details for interventions are outlined in the 'Intervention planning in full' section.

## Our review process

Annually reviewing a one-year pupil premium plan and creating a new plan each year is time-costly and ineffective. This three-year approach allows us to dedicate more time up-front and introduce light-touch reviews annually.

During a light-touch review, we will review the success of each intervention, based on evidence, and determine the most effective approach moving forwards – adapting, expanding or ceasing the intervention as required.

Individual targets are set for each pupil in receipt of the PPG and their progress towards achieving these targets is analysed at the end of interventions.

The progress of pupils in receipt of the PPG is regularly discussed with subject teachers.

Once the three-year term has been completed, a new three-year strategy will be created in light of the lessons learned during the execution of the previous strategy, and with regard to any new guidance and evidence of best practice that becomes available. The headteacher is responsible for ensuring a pupil premium strategy is always in effect.

## **Accountability**

Ofsted inspections will report on the attainment and progress of disadvantaged pupils in receipt of the PPG.

The school is held to account for the spending of the PPG through the focus in Ofsted inspections on the progress and attainment of the wider pupil premium eligible cohort; however, they will not look for evidence of the grant's impact on individual pupils, or on precise interventions.

The school publishes its strategy for using the pupil premium on the school website. In addition, the school publishes a link to the school and college performance tables and the schools' performance tables page on the school website.

# **Our funding**

Funding summary: Year 1 (2019-2020)							
Total number of	1 1 28 /	PPG received per pupil	£935	Indicative PPG as advised in School Budget Statement	£170 170		
pupils		Number of pupils eligible for PPG	182	Actual PPG budget	£190 771		
	Funding estimate: Year 2 (2020-2021)						
Estimated pup	oil numbers	1 340					
Estimated nur pupils eligible		207					
Estimated fund	ding	£192 180					
	F	unding estimate:	Year 3 (20	21-2022)			
Estimated pup	oil numbers	1 340					
Estimated nur pupils eligible		207					
Estimated fund	Estimated funding £192 180						

# Intervention planning in full

Intervention:	Encouraging self-led professional development within a framework of whole school CPD					
Category:	Quality of teaching					
Intended outcomes:	Improve the quality of teaching and have a "effective" teacher in every classroom by the year 3		Success criteria:	•	has been judged 'very effective' by internal nce processes	
Staff lead:	Assistant Headteacher: Teaching and Learning (GCA)					
	Year 1		Year 2	Year 3		
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:	How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):			How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):	
Implementation	Tri-strand research-based CPD enables staff to select an area of focus; provision of research reading time and Joint Practice  The CPDL Programme for 2020 -21 is one element of professional learning and will offer a starting point for action research, reading poor support subject specific					
	All staff select a CPD focus area. There will be key group sessions delivered by lead practitioners, time to work collaboratively and feedback time during curriculum team	There will be 3 one hour CPDI sessions in a Professional Lea Community (PLC) to underpin professional learning for the years.		earning n their		
	meetings.	Professio will be ac	each CPDL input sonal Learning Commetion research develoties, Joint Practice	nunity there opment		

Support for early career teachers: NQT programme including mentoring sessions, collaborative planning and a series of CPD sessions. RQT to work with Area Improvement Leaders (AIL) across The Consortium Academy Trust (TCAT). External CPD sought to build expertise.

(JPD) within subject teams and a bespoke personalised approach to professional learning.

The CPDL input sessions will be framed around Educational Literature underpinned with research. The delivery will be led by the Pedagogy Leaders who will be pursuing their own specific area of action research related to pedagogy. The leadership of this programme is based on a grassroots approach in which members of the staff body work collaboratively to learn together and therefore this will not be led by the senior team.

- · Retrieval Practice
- · Teaching to the Top
- · Positive Behaviour Management
- · Independent Learning
- · New Technologies

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
Light-touch review notes	The new approach to CPD was working well, but was interrupted by the school closure in March. The approach has been updated for 2020-21.		
Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected ✓  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □

Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£12 816	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same ✓	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same ✓
5. 45. 12.114.13			Year 2	£12 816	Year 3	£12 816
	Total anticipated expenditure: £38 448					
			Year 2	£	Year 3	£
Actual expenditure	Year 1	Year 1 £12 816 Did 6 incre or re same		Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □
	Total actual expenditure:	£				

Intervention:	Teaching and learning: Further develop strategies to increase engagement and challenge and to raise the profile of students within the classroom.							
Category:	Quality of teaching	Quality of teaching						
Intended outcomes:	Increase engagement and challenge. Raise the profile with staff.  Success criteria: Staff can confide students			dently discuss how they support PP				
Staff lead:	Assistant Headteacher: Achievement and Progress (LWR)							
	Year 1	Year 2			Year 1 Year 2			Year 3
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:	year 2 (in	How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):		How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):			
Implementation	Launch top 10 strategies to use in the classroom.		to highlight top 10 classroom.	) strategies to				
	Focus use of the profiles with staff when planning lessons.	Focus us planning I	e of the profiles w lessons.	vith staff when				
	Launch Focus Five.	Launch F	ocus Five.					
	Departments to bid for money to support a project focussed on engagement and challenge of PP students.	project f	ents to bid for mone ocussed on engage of PP students.					

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	Top 10 strategies collated and launched with staff. Following a DA Pupil Pursuit (start of March) only 2 of the 6 strategies relevant to the classroom were seen during lessons.		
	Unresolved IT problems led to the profiles not being ready to launch.		
Light-touch review notes	The Focus Five and department bids were not implemented, in part, due to lockdown.		

Light-touch review overall assessment			<ul><li>Far above</li><li>Above exp</li><li>As expecte</li><li>Below expe</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Above expectations □</li> <li>As expected □</li> <li>Below expectations □</li> </ul>		The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£2 500	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □  Decrease □  Remain the same ✓  £2 500	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □  Decrease □  Remain the same □  £2 500	
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£7 500					
			Year 2	£	Year 3	£	
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£2 500 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?		Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	
	Total actual expenditure:	£					

Intervention:	Structured interventions: Introducing vocabulary interventions for pupils with poor oral language and communication skills							
Category:	Targeted academic support	Targeted academic support						
Intended outcomes:	Increase the reading age of students		Success criteria: reading a		g: Each cohort of students improve their y an average of 12 months e reading age of the cohort of students			
Staff lead:	Assistant Headteacher: Achievement and Progress (LWR)							
	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3			
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:	How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):			How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):			
Implementation	This will be in the form of Sound Training via Lexonik. There will be 3 groups of 20 students. Each group receives 6 weeks of intervention at 1 hour per week.  Sound Training: Role out to the new Y8 students (Y7 in Year 1).		the new Y8	Sound Training: Continue to new Y8 students (Y6 in Year 1).				
	Focus on Y8 students and any remaining Y9, 10, 11 that have yet to receive the intervention.				Lexia: Remove students that have met the required standard and enrol new Y7.			

	Use of Lexia with Y7/Y8 students, supported via the Yipiyap tutors.		
	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	Lexonik Sound Training:		
	Sound Training Cohort 1: Average Reading Age increase of 20 months		
	Sound Training Cohort 2: Average Reading Age increase of 25 months		
	<u>Lexia:</u>		
	Word Study:		
	Foundation 45% to 27%		
Light-touch review	Intermediate 53% to 69%		
notes	Advanced 2% to 4%		
	Average unit increase - 63		
	Grammar:		
	Foundation 49% to 47%		
	Intermediate 49% to 51%		
	Advanced 2% to 2%		
	Average unit increase - 27		
	Comprehension:		
	Foundation 53% to 38%		
	Intermediate 47% to 62%		

	Advanced 0% to 0%  Average unit increase	e - 71					
Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected ✓ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		<ul><li>Far above</li><li>Above exp</li><li>As expecte</li><li>Below expe</li></ul>	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □		The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	
Year 1		£8 000 (Sound) £4 900 (Lexia)	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □  Decrease ✓  Remain the same □	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase ✓ Decrease □ Remain the same □	
			Year 2	£6 000 (Sound)	Year 3	£12 900 (Sound and Lexia)	
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£31 800 (Lexia licence	2 years, so no cost in 2 <sup>nd</sup> year	Less cohorts required in subseque	ent years.)		
			Year 2	£	Year 3	£	
Actual expenditure	Voor 1		Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □		
	Total actual expenditure:	£					

Intervention:	Small group tuition: Introducing targeted English and maths teaching for pupils who are below age-related expectations						
Category:	Targeted academic support						
Intended outcomes:	Increase English and maths outcomes	Success criteria:		teracy skills. The gap between PP and non-PP.			
Staff lead:	Assistant Headteacher: Achievement and Progress (LWR)						
	Year 1		Year 3				
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:	How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):			How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):		
Implementati on	This will be in the form of Yipiyap tutors and Making Good Progress (MGP) lessons.  Yipiyap tutors will support students within English lessons and withdraw students for small group support to focus on improving literacy and encourage reading.  MGP lessons to take place in English from Jan to July 2020 to support students in 1-to-1 and small group situation with exam specific work.  Reduced class sizes in English to improve feedback to students.	Expected to continue in the same format.  Second yipiyap tutor recruited.  Please note, due to Corona Virus, small class sizes are in Y11 English only. The spare staffing being used for small group intervention.		Expected to continue in the same format.			

Annual review notes:

Annual review notes:

Final review notes:

See above for impact on reading ages (Lexia supported by yipiyap tutor).

Outcomes for PP below: All DA measures increased from 2019. In addition, the gaps for all measure closed

Light-touch review notes

Headline Measure	DA	Non-DA	Non-D
Cohort	39	212	
% Basics 4+	56	76	72
% Basics 5+	36	51	50
% EBacc Entry	54	72	45
%EBacc 'Standard Pass'	28	49	29
% EBacc 'Strong Pass'	23	27	21
Attainment 8	40.53	52.40	50.3
Average Grade	4.5	5.2	5

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected ✓ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □		The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£14 040 (yipiyap) £12 000 (MGP) £12 000 (class sizes)	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase ✓ Decrease □ Remain the same □	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same ✓
			Year 2	£52 080	Year 3	£38040
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£114120				
			Year 2	£	Year 3	£
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£14 040 (yipiyap) £12 000 (MGP) £12 000 (class sizes)	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □
	Total actual expenditure:	£				

Intervention:	Learning Support for SEND students in receipt of PP					
Category:	Targeted academic support					
Intended outcomes:	Improve provision and support for PP SEND students to aid access to the curriculum, wider skills and prepare for the next stage of education.  No NEETs in PF PR SEND students to aid access to the curriculum, wider skills and prepare for the next stage of education.			PP SEND students rear on year		
Staff lead:	SENDCO					
	Year 1 Year 2 Year 3					
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:	How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):			How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):	
Implementation	Teaching and interventions are planned by the SENDCO and delivered by a combination of Learning Support Teachers, HLTA's and Teaching Assistants. These include:	Expected	to continue in the s	same format.	Expected to continue in the same format.	
	<ul> <li>Multi-sensory language lessons (small group or one-to-one)</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Multi-sensory maths lessons (small group)</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Functional Maths lessons (one-to- one)</li> </ul>					

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	100% of the Class of 2020 with DA and SENS/EHCP engaged with Curriculum Support.		
	All but two students completed KS4 in school. The two were on an alternative learning package and educated at College.		
	40% of those educated in school achieved a positive progress score, with one as high as +1.8		
Light-touch review notes	Additional funding contribution for those with EHCP  All received additional support bespoke to their needs including:  • SEMH input supported completion of KS4  • Additional one to one or small group Curriculum Support  • Additional support included:  • Literacy (r & S)  • Reading focus  • Spelling focus  • MSL maths  • MSL reading and spelling  • Functional skills  English  • Functional skills  maths		

Light-touch review overall assessment			<ul><li>Far above</li><li>Above expe</li><li>As expecte</li><li>Below expe</li></ul>	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □		The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£20 000	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □  Decrease □  Remain the same ✓  £20 000	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □  Decrease □  Remain the same ✓  £20 000	
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£60 000	10012	Tour o	220 000		
			Year 2	£	Year 3	£	
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£20 000	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	
	Total actual expenditure:	£					

Intervention:	Attendance: Use of an Attendance and Welfare Manager three days per week to improve attendance and foster links with parents						
Category:	Wider strategies						
Intended outcomes:	PP attendance increases and PA decreases students	PP attendance increases and PA decreases for PP students  PP attendance and PA in line with national after 3 years					
Staff lead:	Assistant Headteacher (MCT)	Assistant Headteacher (MCT)					
	Year 1 Year 2 Year 3						
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:	How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):			How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):		
Implementation	<ul> <li>Actions to include:</li> <li>Tracking and early identification</li> <li>Student mentoring</li> <li>Early parent / carer contact</li> <li>Action Plans</li> </ul>	Expected to continue in the same format.			Expected to continue in the same format.		

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	Attendance responses/identification		
	1 Student referred to Educational Welfare service		
	3 students on Attendance support plans (APS's) heading towards a Governors support plan (GSP)		
	2 Students on attendance support plans awaiting review meeting		
	11 engaging with Attendance support plans		
Light-touch review notes	7 Students on Attendance support plans – extensions given due to mitigating circumstances e.g - significant and medically supported illness.		
	6 students at pre legal stage (Governor support plans) prior to referral to Educational welfare service.		
	Weekly data tracking and review at SLT level.		
	Attendance comparisons - for comparable weeks up until lockdown (week 24)		
	19/20 18/19		
	PP % Attendance- 93.1 91.8		

Non PP % Attendance -	96.1	95.8
Male PP % Attendance -	9/1	94.6
ividie FF /0 Attenualite -	<i>9</i> <b>4.</b> 1 ∶	J4.U
Female PP % Attendance	- 92.0	39.0

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected ✓  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □		<ul><li>Far above</li><li>Above exp</li><li>As expecte</li><li>Below expe</li></ul>	Above expectations □     As expected □     Below expectations □		The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£15 444	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □  Decrease □  Remain the same ✓  £15 444	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □  Decrease □  Remain the same ✓  £15 444	
	Total anticipated expenditure: £46 332						
		Year		£	Year 3	£	
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£15 444	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	
	Total actual expenditure:	£					

Intervention:	Behaviour: Use of a Behaviour and Seclusion Manager three days per week to improve behaviour and reduce exclusions.					
Category:	Wider strategies					
Intended outcomes:	Improve PP behaviour		Success criteria:		s in line with non-PP in line with non-PP	
Staff lead:	Assistant Headteacher: Behaviour (AWO)					
	Year 1	Year 2 Year 3				
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:		vill implement this in light of the year 1 a iew):		How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):	
Implementation	Use of a Behaviour for Learning Manager to support with keeping students in school and in lessons. Students can work with the Behaviour for Learning Manager in a small group setting.	Expected to continue in the same format (though change of personnel)			Expected to continue in the same format.	

	Annual review notes:  Yr 7 - Female student worked with to prevent continued Fixed term Exclusions.  Strategies used to address behaviours included reinforcement of positive behaviours, developing social skills, develop understanding of consequences of	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
Light-touch review	actions, reflection and mentoring.  Year 7 Male student - Anger Management sessions to reduce number of outburst with individuals  Year 8 Female student support to ensure		
notes	meals are eaten, developing strategies to manage behaviours.  Year 11 Male Student Anger Management sessions to reduce number of outburst with staff.		
	Year 11 male student to address issues surrounding knife crime		
	Year 11 student to support succeeding final year from an alternative school – successfully attended throughout time duration.		

Light-touch review overall assessment	<ul> <li>The intervention is per</li> <li>Far above expected</li> <li>As expected</li> <li>Below expected</li> <li>Far below expected</li> </ul>	pectations □ ations □ • ations □	<ul> <li>Far above expectations □</li> <li>Above expectations □</li> <li>As expected □</li> <li>Below expectations □</li> </ul>		The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£13 635	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □  Decrease □  Remain the same ✓  £13 635	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □  Decrease □  Remain the same ✓  £13 635
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£40 905	rodi Z	Tour o	210 000	
Actual expenditure			Year 2	£	Year 3	£
	Year 1	£13 635	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □
	Total actual expenditure:	£				

Intervention:	Learning Mentors and Advisors: Use of PP Pastoral Managers, a Vocational Learning Mentor and Careers advisor					
Category:	Wider strategies					
Intended outcomes:	Ensure all PP students have support to get a equipment and resources, access college co (where relevant) and receive careers advise	ourses	Success criteria:		m PP students.  nrolled in college courses complete and	
Staff lead:	Assistant Headteacher: Achievement and Pi	rogress (LV	VR)			
	Year 1  How we will implement this intervention in year 1:	How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):			Year 3  How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):	
Implementation	PP Pastoral Learning Managers: Flexible 1- 2-1 meetings and / or mentoring to support for individual needs. This:  • Identifies bespoke needs (academic and social emotional), interests and motivations.	Expected to continue in the similar format.  Vocational Learning Manager only supporting Y11 as Y10 unable to attend college. Support within more lessons in school taking place instead.		r only le to attend	Expected to continue in the same format.	
	<ul> <li>Builds relationships, trust, confidence and own motivation towards learning.</li> </ul>					

<ul> <li>Help learners think explicitly about their own learning, sets goals and encourages students to monitor and evaluate their progress.</li> </ul>	
Vocational Learning Manager: supports all students studying vocational courses, accompanying them to College each week (one day in Y10 and one in Y11). Direct intervention, in-class support and mentoring are provided on the remaining 3 days in school.	
Level 6 Careers Advisor time (salary) funded for:	
<ul> <li>1-2-1 annual careers interviews with Y9 – 11</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Small group careers discussions with Y7 - 8</li> </ul>	

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
		Authorition Holes.	Timal review flotes.
	PP Mentors  All students were supported by the PP mentors across all year groups, providing revision guides, resources, bus passes, theatre trips. A huge amount of bespoke mental support was provided.		
	Students seen:		
	Y7 – 55		
	Y8 – 49		
	Y9 – 40		
	Y10 – 45		
Light-touch review	Y11 - 41		
notes	All year 10 students were all contacted during lockdown by telephone.		
	Weekly intervention sessions were run for HSC students, with all students (three) achieving a Distinction		
	Vocational Learning Manager		
	The students attending college successfully achieved qualifications in Construction.		
	<u>Careers</u>		
	All PP students educated in school received a careers interview in school. Six students received more than one careers		

 	<del>,</del>	
interview in school. During lockdown, 18 students received a phone interview to support with next steps.		
As of October, we have one NEET, despite support during lockdown and since.		

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is per  Far above expected As expected Below expected Far below expected	ectations □  ations □  Above expecte  As expecte  Below expe		expectations   ectations   d	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£29 118 (non- teaching staff) £6 866 (PIXL champions)	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □  Decrease □  Remain the same ✓  £35 984	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □  Decrease □  Remain the same ✓  £35 984
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£10 7952				
Actual expenditure			Year 2	£	Year 3	£
	Year 1	£29 118 (non- teaching staff) £6 866 (PIXL champions)	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □
	Total actual expenditure:	£				

Intervention:	Opportunities Fund: To support with Peripatetic Music lessons, trips, revision guides, equipment and reading engagement					
Category:	Wider strategies					
Intended outcomes:	Increase engagement and / or attainment in subject areas. Encourage learning out of the classroom.  Success criteria: Attitude to Learning Grades average at least 2 for 75% of PP students.					
Staff lead:	Assistant Headteacher: Achievement and P	rogress (LV	VR)			
	Year 1	Year 2			Year 3	
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:	year 2 (in	we will implement this intervention in 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-h review):		How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):	
Implementation	<ul> <li>Support Peripatetic Music lesson</li> <li>Support with Trips</li> <li>Purchase Revision guides for all Y9 to 11 students</li> <li>Provide Art Packs</li> <li>Purchase reading fiction and nonfiction books</li> <li>Support with equipment such as calculators, revision flash cards etc.</li> </ul>			To continue to roll out.		

Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
All strategies were supported.  4 students received Peripatetic Music Lessons.  Students were given the offer of an art pack, creative thinker book or a book of their choice. Take up was high with lots of positive feedback.  Revision guides had been provided to students as requested by the student, parent or teacher.  We supported 5 students with uniform needs in Y7. In July we supported 2 new Y7 students with uniform for Sept 2020.  Whilst hard to measure the impact, this support is crucial, particularly revision guides and uniform.	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is per  Far above expected As expected Below expected Far below expected	pectations □ ations □  ations □	<ul> <li>Far above expectations □</li> <li>Above expectations □</li> <li>As expected □</li> <li>Below expectations □</li> </ul>			The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£7 760	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □  Decrease □  Remain the same ✓  £7 760	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □  Decrease □  Remain the same ✓  £7 760	
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£23 280					
Actual expenditure			Year 2	£	Year 3	£	
	Year 1	£7 760	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	
	Total actual expenditure:	£					

Please note: Strategy allocates £160,711 of the available £170,170. The remaining £9,459 is held back for emergency integration support and support identified in-year.

## Additional money was used to support:

- Breakfast for FSM students
- An assessment for a DA student in need of behaviour support (£500)